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## Letter Ballot: PTSC-LB-252 (PTSC-2020-00081R000)

|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- |
| **Company Name: Comcast** | | | | | | |
| **TO BE COMPLETED BY COMMENTER** | | | | **TO BE COMPLETED BY SUB/COMMITTEE** | | |
| **Auto#** | **Page/ Section/Line #** | **Comment** | **Rationale/Suggested Solution** | **Type[[1]](#footnote-1)** | **Res.**[[2]](#footnote-2) | **Discussion/Explanation/Note *(if comment is modified, accepted/modified via a separate ballot comment, or not accepted)*** |
| 1 | Line 275, clause 6.1 | “2) A procedure for registering with the STI-CA.”  Readers may find this confusing, since subsequent text uses terms like “register” to describe establishing an account with the STI-PA, and terms like “account creation” for establishing an account with the STI-CA; e.g.,   * Clause 6.3.1 uses the terms “STI-PA Account Registration” and “STI-CA Account Creation”. * Clause 6.3.2 title says “STI-PA Account Registration…” * Clause 6.3.3 title says “STI-CA Account Creation”. | “2) A procedure for creating an account with an STI-CA.” |  |  |  |
|  | Line 572, clause 6.3.4.2 | 2nd row in table says…  “SPC value in the "tkvalue" element of the “atc” claim does not match the SPC value associated with the account. ”  “the SPC value” implies an account can have only a single SPC value, whereas it could have multiple. | “SPC value in the "tkvalue" element of the “atc” claim does not match any of the SPC values associated with the account. ” |  |  |  |
|  | Line 574, clause 6.3.4.2 | Is the crl URL returned for 200OK error cases? | If answer is “yes” then no change required. |  |  |  |
|  | Line 609 | The "message" value in the example does not match the “message” definition in section 6.3.4.2. “…granted” vs. “…Granted”. | “"message":"SPC Token Granted",” |  |  |  |
|  | Line 669, clause 6.3.5.2 | “The request is signed using the private key used in the ACME registration with the STI-CA. “  To line up with earlier text, replace “registration” with “account creation”, and add reference to appropriate clause.  Earlier text uses the term “registration” to refer the process of establishing an account with the STI-PA. | “The request is signed using the private key that was during the STI-CA account creation procedure (ref clause 6.3.3). “ |  |  |  |

**Other Information (e.g., Tables, Figures):**

1. Type of change: Insert **S** or **NS**: Substantive (**S**) (*see* [*ATIS OP*](http://www.atis.org/01_legal/operatingpro.asp) *Section A.6*) or Non-Substantive (**NS**) [↑](#footnote-ref-1)
2. Resolution (how was comment considered): Insert **A**, **AM**, **N**, **I**, or **W**: Accepted (**A**), Accepted as Modified (**AM**), Not Accepted (**N**), For Information/No Action/Noted (**I**), or Withdrawn (**W**). [↑](#footnote-ref-2)