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## Letter Ballot: [PTSC-LB-251]

|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- |
| **Company Name: [Charter Communication]** | | | | | | |
| **TO BE COMPLETED BY COMMENTER** | | | | **TO BE COMPLETED BY SUB/COMMITTEE** | | |
| **Auto#** | **Page/ Section/Line #** | **Comment** | **Rationale/Suggested Solution** | **Type[[1]](#footnote-1)** | **Res.**[[2]](#footnote-2) | **Discussion/Explanation/Note *(if comment is modified, accepted/modified via a separate ballot comment, or not accepted)*** |
|  | Lines: 173, 178, 180, 264, 333, 336 | Replace PASSPorT with PASSporT and  PASSPorTs with PASSporTs, respectively. | Typo correction | NS | A |  |
|  | Line 31 | In “Clause 5 specifies the normative requirements to add support draft-ietf-stir-passport-divert [Ref 4] to SHAKEN.”  In the above replace “add support” with either  “support”  “add support of” or  “add support for the extensions of” | Editorial | NS | A |  |
|  | Line 171 | (i.e., using the recommended 60 second freshness window)  In the above replace “i.e.” with “e.g.” | Using i.e. implies that the freshness window MUST be 60 seconds versus that 60 seconds is a recommended (SHOULD) value | NS | A |  |
|  | Line 173-174 | or extend the freshness window of these earlier PASSPorTs beyond 60 second”  In the above replace “60 seconds” with “the normal limit” | Same a 3. | NS | A |  |
|  | Line 176 | Delete “(60 second freshness window)” | Same as 3. | NS | A |  |
|  | Line 183 | This section describes  In the above, replace “section” with “clause” | Editorial | NS | A |  |
|  | Lines 187-190 | Therefore, if the retargeted INVITE request does not contain an Identity header, then the STI-AS of the retargeting network may choose to either skip authentication altogether, or to perform authentication based on local policy; e.g., perform base SHAKEN authentication with Gateway attestation.  In the above replace “ network may .. attestation”: with  “network:   * shall for the cases covered in clause 5.1, first perform SHAKEN authentication as specified in ATIS-1000074 [Ref 1], and then perform “div” authentication. * Otherwise, may choose to either skip authentication altogether, or to perform authentication based on local policy; e.g., perform base SHAKEN authentication with Gateway attestation, and then perform div authentication | First bullet to align with clause 5.1 and the 2nd to align with step 3 in Figure A.2 | NS | A |  |
|  | Lines 256-258 | The host SP shall convey the verification result in the INVITE request sent to the end-user device using the tel URI "verstat" parameter, as specified in 3GPP TS  258 24.229 [Ref 10].  In the above replace “shall” with “may” | Delivery of verstat to end-user is not mandatory. | S | A |  |
|  | Line 427 | SP-b has delegated TN-b to SIP-PBX-1  In the above replace “delegated” with “assigned” or could just delete the sentence. | Editorial – to avoid any confusion with delegated certificate cases | NS | A | Changed to: “SP-b has assigned TN-b to SIP-PBX-1.” |
|  | Line 483-485 | In this case, SP-b would perform SHAKEN authentication on [3] INVITE (since it doesn’t contain a SHAKEN Identity header),and include a single SHAKEN Identity header for calling TN-a with "Gateway" attestation in [4] INVITE to SP-c.  Replace the above with:  In this case, SP-b would perform SHAKEN and “div” authentication on [3] INVITE (since it doesn’t contain a SHAKEN Identity header). [4] INVITE to SP-c contains a SHAKEN Identity header for calling TN-a with "Gateway" attestation and a “div” PASSporT. | Align with step 3 in Table A.2 | NS | A |  |
|  | Lines 532-533 | Case-1 procedures shown in Annex Error! Reference source not found.Error! Reference source not found.A.2.1.  In the above either simply delete “shown in … A.2.1” or replace with “shown in A.2.1” | Editorial correction for reference error | NS | A |  |
|  | Line 558 | and sends an indication of “No-TN-Validation” to UE-c.  Replace the above with  “and sends no verstat indication to UE-c | “No-TN-Validation” is incorrect since passed validation and to align with ATIS-1000074.v002 baseline “Validation-Passed” is not sent to UE for C attestation (unless also passing Attestation value to the UE) | NS | A |  |
|  | Line 566 | provides a SHAKEN Identity header authenticating TN-a  In the above replace “authenticating” with “with Gateway Attestation for” | Editorial clarification of attestation level | NS | A |  |

**Other Information (e.g., Tables, Figures):**

1. Type of change: Insert **S** or **NS**: Substantive (**S**) (*see* [*ATIS OP*](http://www.atis.org/01_legal/operatingpro.asp) *Section A.6*) or Non-Substantive (**NS**) [↑](#footnote-ref-1)
2. Resolution (how was comment considered): Insert **A**, **AM**, **N**, **I**, or **W**: Accepted (**A**), Accepted as Modified (**AM**), Not Accepted (**N**), For Information/No Action/Noted (**I**), or Withdrawn (**W**). [↑](#footnote-ref-2)