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| All commenters should use this form when submitting comments on an ATIS Letter Ballot ([view the instructions](http://www.atis.org/01_resources/docs/LB/ATIS_LB_CCRPreso.pdf)). ­This form should accompany the [letter ballot (via ATIS Workspace)](http://www.atis.org/01_aws/faqs.asp#Ballot) and will subsequently be used during comment consideration by the appropriate committee/subcommittee. The commenter should use the “track changes” feature when recommending changes to existing text. Proposed changes to a table, figure, or any other item that is not purely text, should include a summary in the table below and provide the modified table, figure, etc., in the “Other Information” section. The source file for any new figures (Visio, PowerPoint, etc.) must also be included (by either zipping together with this document, or embedding as a file/object). |

## Letter Ballot: PTSC-LB-250 (PTSC-2020-00052R000)

|  |
| --- |
| **Company Name: [**Neustar**]** |
| **TO BE COMPLETED BY COMMENTER** | **TO BE COMPLETED BY SUB/COMMITTEE** |
| **Auto#** | **Page/Section/Line #** | **Comment** | **Rationale/Suggested Solution** | **Type[[1]](#footnote-1)** | **Res.**[[2]](#footnote-2) | **Discussion/Explanation/Note *(if comment is modified, accepted/modified via a separate ballot comment, or not accepted)*** |
|  | Line 3 | with a “proposed” framework | This document is a proposal. | NS | A |  |
|  | Line 10 | “propose an approach for extending” | This document is a proposal. | NS | A |  |
|  | Line 12 | does “suggest” new interfaces | This document is a proposal. | NS | A |  |
|  | Line 15 | is to “propose a way” to extend | This document is a proposal. | NS | A |  |
|  | Line 59 | document “proposes an” architecture | This document is a proposal. | NS | A |  |
|  | Line 71 | entities are “proposed” | This document is a proposal. | NS | A |  |
|  | Lines 84-85 | Don’t understand “but other implementations are consistent with the model” clause? | Please clarify. | NS | AM | Changed to “but other implementations of these functional entities are also possible” |
|  | Line 102-103 | it is “proposed” that the process and delete “will” in next line | Better than “assumed” here. | NS | A |  |
|  | Line 104 | Report “proposes” that | Better than “assumes” here. | NS | A |  |
|  | Line 107 | The “proposed” registration | Better than “assumed” here. | NS | A |  |
|  | Line 123 | will be the STI-PA, “as currently specified in ATIS”, that | Clarification of statement. | NS | AM | Removed reference to ATIS specification. |
|  | Line 128 | can be as “described” in  | Both documents are proposals. | NS | A |  |
|  | Line 131 | This report “suggests” that | Better than “assumes” here. | NS | A |  |
|  | Line 132 | Does not “propose” how that | This document is a proposal. | NS | A |  |
|  | Line 148 | Report “proposes a” mechanism | This document is a proposal. | NS | A |  |
|  | Line 160 Paragraph | It “suggests” how countries that | Both documents are proposals. | NS | A |  |
|  | Line 162 | Report “proposes” the only | Better than “assumes” here. | NS | A |  |

**Other Information (e.g., Tables, Figures):**

|  |
| --- |
| All commenters should use this form when submitting comments on an ATIS Letter Ballot ([view the instructions](http://www.atis.org/01_resources/docs/LB/ATIS_LB_CCRPreso.pdf)). ­This form should accompany the [letter ballot (via ATIS Workspace)](http://www.atis.org/01_aws/faqs.asp#Ballot) and will subsequently be used during comment consideration by the appropriate committee/subcommittee. The commenter should use the “track changes” feature when recommending changes to existing text. Proposed changes to a table, figure, or any other item that is not purely text, should include a summary in the table below and provide the modified table, figure, etc., in the “Other Information” section. The source file for any new figures (Visio, PowerPoint, etc.) must also be included (by either zipping together with this document, or embedding as a file/object). |

## Letter Ballot: PTSC, PTSC-LB-250

|  |
| --- |
| **Company Name: Perspecta Labs** |
| **TO BE COMPLETED BY COMMENTER** | **TO BE COMPLETED BY SUB/COMMITTEE** |
| **Auto#** | **Page/Section/Line #** | **Comment** | **Rationale/Suggested Solution** | **Type[[3]](#footnote-3)** | **Res.**[[4]](#footnote-4) | **Discussion/Explanation/Note *(if comment is modified, accepted/modified via a separate ballot comment, or not accepted)*** |
| 1. 1
 | 5 | [VoIP] 🡪 (VoIP) | Reserve brackets for references. | NS | A |  |
|  | 10 | Integrate sentence with next clause (Purpose) | Consolidate purpose statements. | NS | AM | (Removed “purpose” from the sentence, but left it in the scope section because it sets up the next sentence that clarifies that it doesn’t require changes to the existing SHAKEN specifications.) |
|  | 37 | Complete STIR acronym description | Correction of acronym description | NS | N | (This is an actual reference, and that is the title that was used, so I can’t change it.) |
|  | 48 | Is Overview clause meant to include the entire remainder of the document | Break into separate sections where appropriate | NS | A |  |
|  | 64 | Figure 4-1: Include TSP in Figure? Associate with STI-Vs? | Better alignment with text in line 63 | NS | A |  |
|  | 64 | Change “Trusted CA list” to “Trusted STI-CA list in the Figure? | Consistent terminology | NS | A |  |
|  | 65 | Clarify “this diagram” vis a vis reference to “The List of Trusted STI-CAs” | Improved readability; consistent terminology | NS | A |  |
|  | 65 | Preferable to reference Figures by number? | Improved readability | NS | A |  |
|  | 65 | The term “List of Trusted STI-CAs” does not match text in Figure 1 (Trusted CA list) or Figure 2 (“List of Trusted CAs”) | Improved readability; consistent terminology | NS | N | (Figure 5-2 is from another document, so I can’t change it. I believe that “Trusted STI-CA” is a more accurate term so would rather use it in this document – other than in diagrams from other documents.) |
|  | 67 | Capitalize “list of trusted STI-CAs” and/or otherwise make consistent with above terminology  | Improved readability; consistent terminology | NS | A |  |
|  | 72 | Add “SHAKEN Governance Model” bullet | If the bullets describe the next clauses’ content, need to add bullet for clause 4.2 | NS | A |  |
|  | 76 | “sections” 🡪 “clauses” | Consistent terminology | NS | A |  |
|  | 86 | Change second reference to “ATIS-1000080 [Ref 2]” to “Figure 4-3” | Improved readability | NS | A |  |
|  | 87 | “diagram” 🡪 Figure | Improved readability; consistent terminology | NS | A |  |
|  | 96 | “the” 🡪 “their” | Clarify each country will have their own National Telecommunications Regulator | NS | A |  |
|  | 110 | Figure 4-5: Use “National Telecommunications Regulator” instead of “National Regulator” | Consistency with earlier terminology | NS | A |  |
|  | 110 |  “National Telecommunications Regulator” not shown in Countries W and X; intentional? | Figure consistency | NS | A |  |
|  | 111 | Add “Registration Process” to caption | Consistency with earlier text | NS | A |  |
|  | 116 | “count” 🡪 “depend” | Stylistic suggestion | NS | A |  |
|  | 121 | “if” 🡪 “whether” | Stylistic suggestion | NS | A |  |
|  | 127 | “Figure 4-6: Interface to International SHAKEN Registry” 🡪 “Figure 4 6: Interfaces to the International SHAKEN Registry | Correction of typo’s in caption | NS | A |  |
|  | 131 | “sections” 🡪 “clauses | Terminology consistency | NS | A |  |
|  | 135 | “diagram” 🡪 “Figure” | Improved readability; consistent terminology | NS | A |  |
|  | 135 | Consider referencing Figures by number | Improved readability | NS | A |  |
|  | 136 | Break long sentence into two sentences. | Improved readability | NS | A |  |
|  | 137 | “calling numbers” 🡪 “calling party telephone numbers” | Terminology consistency | NS | A |  |
|  | 138 | Figure 4-7: include TSP in Figure? | Consistency with earlier text in line 136 “with a focus on the terminating service provider. | NS | A |  |
|  | 141 | “individual telephone numbers for the calling party” 🡪 “individual calling party telephone numbers” | Improved readability | NS | A |  |
|  | 142 | “extend this” 🡪 “extend this approach” | Improved readability | NS | A |  |
|  | 143 | “numbers” 🡪 “telephone numbers” | Improved readability | NS | A |  |
|  | 144 | Figure 4-8: Might be helpful to clarify/highlight which arrows are part of the feedback path; maybe describe feedback sequence? | Improved comprehension and readability | NS | A |  |
|  | 147 | Figure 4-8 Caption: “Reputation Feedback” 🡪 “Reputation Feedback Path” | Improved readability | NS | A |  |

**Other Information (e.g., Tables, Figures):**

1. Type of change: Insert **S** or **NS**: Substantive (**S**) (*see* [*ATIS OP*](http://www.atis.org/01_legal/operatingpro.asp) *Section A.6*) or Non-Substantive (**NS**) [↑](#footnote-ref-1)
2. Resolution (how was comment considered): Insert **A**, **AM**, **N**, **I**, or **W**: Accepted (**A**), Accepted as Modified (**AM**), Not Accepted (**N**), For Information/No Action/Noted (**I**), or Withdrawn (**W**). [↑](#footnote-ref-2)
3. Type of change: Insert **S** or **NS**: Substantive (**S**) (*see* [*ATIS OP*](http://www.atis.org/01_legal/operatingpro.asp) *Section A.6*) or Non-Substantive (**NS**) [↑](#footnote-ref-3)
4. Resolution (how was comment considered): Insert **A**, **AM**, **N**, **I**, or **W**: Accepted (**A**), Accepted as Modified (**AM**), Not Accepted (**N**), For Information/No Action/Noted (**I**), or Withdrawn (**W**). [↑](#footnote-ref-4)