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## Letter Ballot: [PTSC-LB-248]

|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- |
| **Company Name: [Comcast]** | | | | | | |
| **TO BE COMPLETED BY COMMENTER** | | | | **TO BE COMPLETED BY SUB/COMMITTEE** | | |
| **Auto#** | **Page/ Section/Line #** | **Comment** | **Rationale/Suggested Solution** | **Type[[1]](#footnote-1)** | **Res.**[[2]](#footnote-2) | **Discussion/Explanation/Note *(if comment is modified, accepted/modified via a separate ballot comment, or not accepted)*** |
|  | Lines 407 to 409 | This paragraph implies a difference among the sub-options which doesn’t really exist. For all three sub-options, the end entity cert does chain to the trusted root cert of an STI-CA.  The differences in how certs are obtained is covered in 2nd paragraph, therefore suggest removing the text after the semi-colon. | Recommended update…  For all three sub-options – Delegate Certificates, Enterprise Certificates, and Lemon Twist – the originating enterprise entity obtains an STI certificate that chains to the trusted root certificate of an approved STI-CA. |  |  |  |
|  | Lines 423 to 424 | The “For delegated certificates…” sentence seems to be introducing a new topic, when in fact it’s a continuation of the previous sentence. | Recommended Update: “The STI-PA sits at the top of this hierarchy, where it vets the identity of the TNSP, and authorizes the TNSP to obtain a CA-level certificate from the STI-CA that the TNSP can then use to issue STI delegate certificates to its enterprise customers.” |  |  |  |
|  | 428 to 434 | The text starting with “In the case of Delegated Certificates….” through the end of the paragraph is somewhat redundant to the text earlier in the paragraph. | Suggest removing “In the case of Delegated Certificates….” through to the end of the paragraph. |  |  |  |
|  | Lines 437 to 439. | The sentence “For the Delegate Certificate and Lemon Twist sub-options…” does not apply to Lemon Twist certificates, since the certificate scope does not identify TNs. | Suggested Update: Change sentence to “For the Delegate Certificate model, the scope of authority of the certificate (as indicated by the certificate’s TN Authorization List) identifies the specific set of TNs that the originating enterprise entity is authorized to use.” |  |  |  |
|  | Lines 444 to 445 | The word “also” in this sentence …  “The Lemon-Twist solution also includes an enterprise ID in the SPC token that uniquely identifies the enterprise that is authorized to use the TNs.”  … implies that there is other scope information in the token (like TNs) which is not the case. | Remove “also” as follows…  “The Lemon-Twist solution includes an enterprise ID in the SPC token that uniquely identifies the enterprise that is authorized to use the TNs. Verifiers can use this identifier to query an external TN-assignment database in order to validate that the enterprise is authorized to use the calling TN.” |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
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