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# Scope & Purpose

## Scope

This specification expands the SHAKEN framework, introducing mechanisms for authentication, verification, and transport of CNAM, Rich Call Data and how they a handled in various origination and termination procedures.

## Purpose

To provide a framework for delivering authenticated calling name and rich call data for display to the called user.

# Normative References

The following standards contain provisions which, through reference in this text, constitute provisions of this Standard. At the time of publication, the editions indicated were valid. All standards are subject to revision, and parties to agreements based on this Standard are encouraged to investigate the possibility of applying the most recent editions of the standards indicated below.

ATIS-1000074, *Signature-based Handling of Asserted Information using Tokens (SHAKEN).*[[1]](#footnote-1)

RFC 3261, *SIP: Session Initiation Protocol.*4

RFC 7515, *JSON Web Signatures (JWS).*4

RFC 7516, *JSON Web Algorithms (JWA).*4

RFC 7517, *JSON Web Key (JWK).*4

RFC 7519, *JSON Web Token (JWT).*4

RFC 8224, *Authenticated Identity Management in the Session Initiation Protocol*.4

RFC 8225, *Personal Assertion Token (PASSporT)*.[[2]](#footnote-2)

RFC 8226, *Secure Telephone Identity Credentials: Certificates*4

# Definitions, Acronyms, & Abbreviations

For a list of common communications terms and definitions, please visit the *ATIS Telecom Glossary*, which is located at < <http://www.atis.org/glossary> >.

## Definitions

The following provides some key definitions used in this document. Refer to IETF RFC 4949 for a complete Internet Security Glossary, as well as tutorial material for many of these terms.

**Caller ID:** The originating or calling party’s telephone number used to identify the caller carried either in the P-Asserted-Identity or From header fields in the Session Initiation Protocol (SIP) [RFC 3261] messages.

**Identity:** Either a canonical Address-of-Record (AoR) SIP Uniform Resource Identifier (URI) employed to reach a user (such as ’sip:alice@atlanta.example.com’), or a telephone number, which commonly appears in either a TEL URI [RFC 3966] or as the user portion of a SIP URI. See also Caller ID [RFC 8224].

**National/Regional Regulatory Authority (NRRA):** A governmental entity responsible for the oversight/regulation of the telecommunication networks within a specific country or region.

NOTE: Region is not intended to be a region within a country (e.g., a region is not a state within the US).

**Signature:** Created by signing the message using the private key. It ensures the identity of the sender and the integrity of the data [RFC 4949].

**Telephone Identity:** An identifier associated with an originator of a telephone call. In the context of the SHAKEN framework, this is a SIP identity (e.g., a SIP URI or a TEL URI) from which a telephone number can be derived.

## Acronyms & Abbreviations

|  |  |
| --- | --- |
| AoR | Address-of-Record |
| ATIS | Alliance for Telecommunications Industry Solutions |
| CNAM | Conventional Caller Name |
| eCNAM | Enhanced Caller Name |
| HTTPS | Hypertext Transfer Protocol Secure |
| IETF | [Internet Engineering Task Force](http://www.ietf.org/rfc.html) |
| JSON | JavaScript Object Notation |
| JWA | JSON Web Algorithms |
| JWK | JSON Web Key |
| JWS | JSON Web Signature |
| JWT | JSON Web Token |
| NNI | Network-to-Network Interface |
| OCN | Operating Company Number |
| PASSporT | Personal Assertion Token |
| PSTN | Public Switched Telephone Network |
| SHAKEN | Signature-based Handling of Asserted information using toKENs |
| SIP | Session Initiation Protocol |
| RCD | Rich Call Data |
| REST | Representational State Transfer |
| SP | Service Provider |
| STI | Secure Telephone Identity |
| STIR | Secure Telephone Identity Revisited |
| TN | Telephone Number |
| URI | Uniform Resource Identifier |
| VoIP | Voice over Internet Protocol |

# Overview

This document introduces a set of procedures for the use of calling name (CNAM) and Rich Call Data (RCD) in the SHAKEN framework [ATIS-1000074] and [ATIS-1000080] and with TN certificates using certificate delegation [ATIS delegate-cert document]. The SHAKEN framework establishes an end-to-end architecture that allows a telephone service provider to authenticate and assert a telephone identity and provides for the verification of this telephone identity by a terminating service provider. The SHAKEN framework defines a profile, using protocols standardized in the IETF Secure Telephone Identity Revisited (STIR) Working Group (WG), providing recommendations and requirements for implementing these IETF specifications, [RFC 8225], [RFC8224], and [RFC 8226], to support management of Service Provider-level certificates within the SHAKEN framework.

This document extends the SHAKEN framework beyond authentication of only the telephone number identity to include more traditional CNAM data, typically in the form of a string, of the name of the calling party displayed to the called party. It also discusses the use of draft-ietf-stir-passport-rcd which defines a PASSporT [RFC8225] extension for enhanced calling party data such as name, address, photos, logos, and other extensible information that may be extended in the future to enable the secure, verified transport of data relevant to the calling party that can be displayed or passed to the called party.

There is various ways CNAM data is transmitted to the called party device today, these methods will be discussed and how the SHAKEN framework can provide validation of that data for each of these models. Additionally, for newer RCD types of data similar transmission and verification models will be discussed. Finally, a set of guidelines around how this data should be presented to the called party will be defined.

## SHAKEN CNAM and RCD Model Overview

Traditional CNAM which has been in use for many years in the telephone network from analog to digital telephones has provided the ability to show a 15-character string to the called party in a telephone call. The 15-character string is used to display a caller or company name corresponding to the calling party. This traditional CNAM is generally either passed through the call signaling or is inserted into the call at the terminating communications service provider (CSP) via a dip to a CNAM database.

Note: The 15-character string was derived from a limitation of SS7 Network and telephone user equipment limitations. However, recently, in ATIS and 3GPP, eCNAM was defined and described in [ATIS-1000067], [3GPP TS 22.173] and [3GPP TS 24.196]. eCNAM extends the ability to provide a longer name with 35 characters in the display-name SIP parameter plus additional data in one or more Call-Info headers.

As the industry moves away from string and text-based displays to more modern display of calling party information like mobile phone displays, Caller-ID to the TV services, and other enhanced displays capable of displaying more and different types of data like images, graphics at different sizes, using fonts and font sizes adapted to the device being displayed, a framework for the transport and authentication/verification of this rich data is required.

This document provides a model and framework to use the SHAKEN framework and extend it to provide both a model that can support both the security of traditional CNAM and eCNAM calling name strings transported in SIP as well as both the transport and security of RCD in an extensible way to support current and future needs and applications that want to pass identity and other information related to the calling party to the called party.

IETF has defined the "rcd" PASSporT extension in [draft-ietf-stir-passport-rcd] which defines the base STIR PASSporT claim ‘rcd’. This claim includes an extensible JSON object that has two specified key values. A ‘nam’ claim for validation of a CNAM string as well as a ‘jcd’ key value which is defined to support the jCard, the JSON format or vCard defined in [RFC7095] which is itself an extensible JSON object for the transport of personal identifiable types of information.

Using the "rcd" PASSporT extension, and specifically the ‘rcd’ claim, the following sections of this document will detail the use of ‘rcd’ claim depending on the call model either independently or as part of the ‘shaken’ PASSporT to validate CNAM and RCD data to the calling party.

# SHAKEN CNAM and RCD Framework Definition

This section describes the procedures associated with the addition the ‘rcd’ PASSporT or inclusion of the ‘rcd’ claim into a ‘shaken’ PASSporT. Both of these procedures are used for supporting different service provider specific CNAM and RCD scenarios.

## ‘rcd’ claim construction overview

In [draft-ietf-stir-passport-rcd] there are three main key values possible as part of the ‘rcd’ claim. They are ‘nam’ which is a minimally required key value as part of the ‘rcd’ claim value JSON object, ‘jcd’ which is the key value that represents the direct inclusion of a jCard string in the ‘rcd’ claim, and ‘jcl’ which is the key value that represents an HTTPS URL link to a jCard file hosted on an HTTPS server. Both the ‘jcd’ and ‘jcl’ key values are optional, can only be included a maximum of one time in a ‘rcd’ claim, and are mutually exclusive where you can not have both key values. The following sections provide more details on how the ‘rcd’ JSON object is constructed.

### Traditional CNAM using ‘nam’

If a SIP INVITE contains a display-name parameter in the From or P-Asserted-Identity header field, then the ‘rcd’ claim must contain a ‘nam’ key value that has a value with a string that matches exactly the ASCII values of the display-name parameter.

Example, for the following SIP INVITE

INVITE sip:+12155551213@biloxi.com SIP/2.0

Via: SIP/2.0/UDP pc33.atlanta.com;branch=z9hG4bK776asdhds

Max-Forwards: 70

To: “Bob” <sip:+12155551213@biloxi.com; user=phone>

From: “Alice” <sip:+12155551212@atlanta.com; user=phone>;tag=1928301774

Call-ID: a84b4c76e66710@pc33.atlanta.com

CSeq: 314159 INVITE

Date: Sat, 13 Nov 2015 23:29:00 GMT

Contact: <sip:alice@pc33.atlanta.com>

Content-Type: application/sdp

Content-Length: 142

This is an example of an ‘rcd’ extension PASSporT

Protected Header

{

"alg":"ES256",

"typ":"passport",

“ppt”:”rcd”,

"x5u":"https://biloxi.example.org/biloxi.cer”

}

Payload

{

"dest":{“tn”:["12155551213"]}

"iat":1443208345,

"orig":{“tn”:"12155551212"},

"rcd":{"nam":"Alice"}

}

This is an example of an ‘shaken’ extension PASSporT that includes an ‘rcd’ claim

Protected Header

{

"alg":"ES256",

"typ":"passport",

“ppt”:”shaken”,

"x5u":"https://biloxi.example.org/biloxi.cer”

}

Payload

{

“attest”:”A”

"dest":{“tn”:["12155551213"]}

"iat":1443208345,

"orig":{“tn”:"12155551212"},

“origid”:”123e4567-e89b-12d3-a456-426655440000”,

"rcd":{"nam":"Alice"}

}

### RCD using ‘jcd’ with an embedded jCard

A ‘jcd’ key value for a ‘rcd’ claim should be constructed with the value being equal to a jCard string. At a minimum the jCard should include a “fn” and one “tel” objects for SHAKEN. Note: Additional objects are optional but may be ignored or disregarded by the receiving entity depending on the rendering capabilities of the device and/or network local policy.

This is an example of an ‘rcd’ extension PASSporT with ‘jcd’

Protected Header

{

"alg":"ES256",

"typ":"passport",

“ppt”:”rcd”,

"x5u":"https://biloxi.example.org/biloxi.cer”

}

Payload

{

"dest":{“tn”:["12155551213"]}

"iat":1443208345,

"orig":{“tn”:"12155551212"},

"rcd":{"nam":"James Bond","jcd":["vcard",[["version",{},"text","4.0"],

["fn",{},"text", "James Bond"],

["n",{},"text",["Bond","James","","","Mr."]],

["adr",{"type":"work"},"text",

["","","3100 Massachusetts Avenue NW","Washington","DC","20008","USA"]

],

["email",{},"text","007@mi6-hq.com"],

["tel",{"type":["voice","text","cell"],"pref":"1"},"uri",

"tel:+1-202-555-1000"],

["tel",{"type":["fax"]},"uri","tel:+1-202-555-1001"],

["bday",{},"date","19241116"],

["logo",{},"uri",

"https://upload.wikimedia.org/wikipedia/en/c/c5/Fleming007impression.jpg"

]]]}}

}

This is an example of an ‘shaken’ extension PASSporT that includes an ‘rcd’ claim

Protected Header

{

"alg":"ES256",

"typ":"passport",

“ppt”:”shaken”,

"x5u":"https://biloxi.example.org/biloxi.cer”

}

Payload

{

“attest”:”A”

"dest":{“tn”:["12155551213"]}

"iat":1443208345,

"orig":{“tn”:"12155551212"},

“origid”:”123e4567-e89b-12d3-a456-426655440000”,

"rcd":{"nam":"James Bond","jcd":["vcard",[["version",{},"text","4.0"],

["fn",{},"text", "James Bond"],

["n",{},"text",["Bond","James","","","Mr."]],

["adr",{"type":"work"},"text",

["","","3100 Massachusetts Avenue NW","Washington","DC","20008","USA"]

],

["email",{},"text","007@mi6-hq.com"],

["tel",{"type":["voice","text","cell"],"pref":"1"},"uri",

"tel:+1-202-555-1000"],

["tel",{"type":["fax"]},"uri","tel:+1-202-555-1001"],

["bday",{},"date","19241116"],

["logo",{},"uri",

"https://upload.wikimedia.org/wikipedia/en/c/c5/Fleming007impression.jpg"

]]]}}

}

### RCD using ‘jcl’ with a URL to jCard

A ‘jcl’ key value for a ‘rcd’ claim should be constructed with the value being equal to an HTTPS URL of a file hosted on an HTTPS server containing a jCard string. At a minimum the linked jCard file should include a “fn” and one “tel” objects for SHAKEN. Note: Additional objects are optional but may be ignored or disregarded by the receiving entity depending on the rendering capabilities of the device and/or network local policy.

This is an example of an ‘rcd’ extension PASSporT with ‘jcl’

Protected Header

{

"alg":"ES256",

"typ":"passport",

“ppt”:”rcd”,

"x5u":"https://biloxi.example.org/biloxi.cer”

}

Payload

{

"dest":{“tn”:["12155551213"]}

"iat":1443208345,

"orig":{“tn”:"12155551212"},

"rcd":{"nam":"James Bond","jcl":"https://example.org/james\_bond.json"}

}

This is an example of an ‘shaken’ extension PASSporT that includes an ‘rcd’ claim

Protected Header

{

"alg":"ES256",

"typ":"passport",

“ppt”:”shaken”,

"x5u":"https://biloxi.example.org/biloxi.cer”

}

Payload

{

“attest”:”A”

"dest":{“tn”:["12155551213"]}

"iat":1443208345,

"orig":{“tn”:"12155551212"},

“origid”:”123e4567-e89b-12d3-a456-426655440000”,

"rcd":{"nam":"James Bond","jcl":"https://example.org/james\_bond.json"}

}

### Integrity Protection of Rich Call Data

[draft-ietf-stir-passport-rcd] specifies how the "rcdi" claim of the "rcd" PASSporT and the STI certificate JWTClaimConstraints extension defined in [RFC 8226] can be used to protect the integrity of the rich call data. The data is protected in two ways; from being maliciously spoofed by the calling entity, and from being maliciously modified en-route to the called destination. The "rcdi" claim contains a digest that is calculated across all of the rich call data; i.e., the input to the digest calculation is the “rcd” claim contents, plus any resources referenced by the "rcd" claim contents, plus any resources referenced by the referenced resources, and so on. Consider the case where the "rcd" claim contains a "nam" key value, and "jcl" key value that references a jCard, and the jCard in turn contains a "logo" key value referencing a jpg image of the company logo. The input to the digest algorithm will include the "rcd" key values, the referenced jCard key values, and the referenced logo image.

When a Subordinate CA issues a delegate certificate to a VoIP entity, it must ensure that the certificate is populated with a JWTClaimConstraints object as defined in section 5.2 of [draft-ietf-stir-passport-rcd]; i.e., any PASSporT signed by this delegate certificate must include the "rcd" claim, and must include an "rcdi" claim with one or more permitted values. For example, if the signing VoIP Entity is authorized to use three variations of a company logo, then the JWTClaimConstraints would list three permitted values for the "rcdi" claim; one value for each logo. Verifiers can use the JWTClaimConstraints extension to verify that the signing entity is authorized to use the rich call data contained in and referenced by the "rcd" claim, and also verify that the rich call data was not modified by any 3rd-party after the "rcd" PASSporT was signed.

The RCD authentication service must use the crypto algorithm sha-256 to generate the digest; i.e., the first part of the "rcdi" value must contain the string "SHA256".

The vetting process whereby the Subordinate CA determines the set of rich call data that the VoIP Entity is authorized to use is outside the scope of this document.

## RCD Authentication and Verification Procedures

### RCD Authentication

The RCD authentication service shall perform RCD authentication as specified in [draft-ietf-stir-passport-rcd].

The RCD authentication service shall populate the “rcd” PASSporT “orig”, “dest”, and “iat” claims with the same procedures as specified for the ‘shaken’ PASSporT defined in [ATIS-1000074].

The RCD authentication service shall include an “rcd” claim containing a “nam” key with a “nam” key value that is set as follows:

* The P-Asserted-Identity header field display name parameter value shall be used, if present, otherwise the From header field display name parameter value shall be used.
* If there are two P-Asserted-Identity header fields that contain a display name parameter, then the RCD authentication service shall have logic to choose the most appropriate one based on local service provider policy.
* If there is no display name parameter in either the P-Asserted-Identity or From header fields, then the “nam” key value shall be an empty string.

The RCD authentication service shall populate the remaining key value pairs of the “rcd” claim based on information obtained from an authoritative database.

If the calling user requests privacy (e.g., The Privacy header field contains a privacy type of “id”), then the RCD authentication service may anonymize the user’s identity in the “rcd” claim, but the remaining claims shall be set as specified in [ATIS-1000074] (specifically, the “orig” claim shall contain the actual calling TN).

The Protected Header “x5u” parameter shall reference a delegate end-entity certificate as defined in [ATIS delegate-cert document]. The RCD authentication service shall ensure that the newly constructed "rcd" PASSporT satisfies any JWTClaimConstraints contained in the delegate certificate, as specified in [draft-ietf-stir-pasport-rcd]. The RCD authentication service shall sign the “rcd” PASSporT with the private key of the delegate end-entity certificate referenced by the “x5u” parameter.

Based on local service provider policy, the Identity header field shall be populated with either a full form or compact form "rcd" PASSporT. If the compact form is used, and the "rcd" PASSporT was constructed with an "rcd" claim containing a "jcl" key value, then the INVITE request must contain a Call-Info header field with a URI of purpose "jcard".

### RCD Verification

The RCD verification service shall verify a received “rcd” PASSporT as specified in [draft-ietf-stir-passport-rcd].

The RCD verification service shall determine the validity of the certificate referenced in the “x5u” field in the “rcd” PASSporT protected header as specified in section 5.3.1 of [ATIS-1000074], with the following modifications:

1. Verify that the certificate is a valid delegate end entity certificate, as specified in [ATIS delegate-cert document]; i.e., both the certificate and its parent certificate contain a TNAuthList object.
2. Verify that the scope of the TNAuthList of the parent certificate encompasses the scope of the child certificate TNAuthList. Repeat this verification step for each delegate certificate in the certificate path.

#### Full Form "rcd" PASSprT

When a full form "rcd" PASSporT is received, the RCD verification service shall verify that the received PASSporT is valid following the verification procedure specified in [draft-ietf-stir-passport-rcd], which includes verifying that the "rcd" PASSporT complies with any JWTClaimConstraints contained in the delegate certificate. In addition, the RCD verification service shall verify the following:

* That the value of the “orig”, “dest”, and “iat” claims are as specified in [ATIS-1000074] and [ATIS-1000085].
* That the “orig” claim TN belongs to the set of TN(s) identified by the TNAuthList of the certificate referenced by the “x5u” parameter.

#### Compact Form "rcd" PASSporT

On receiving an “rcd" PASSporT of the compact form, the RCD verification service shall reconstruct the PASSporT header and claims information as specified in [draft-ietf-stir-passport-rcd], and as described here:

1. The RCD verification service shall reconstruct the "orig" and "dest" claims using the SIP INVITE information that is used to validate these same claims during SHAKEN verification, as described in [ATIS-1000074] and [ATIS-1000085].
2. The RCD verification service shall reconstruct the “rcd” claim “nam” key value as follows:

* The P-Asserted-Identity header field display name parameter value shall be used as the display name if present, otherwise the display name parameter of the From header field value shall be used.
* If there are two P-Asserted-Identity display name parameter values, the verification service shall check each of them until it finds one that is valid.
* If there is no display name parameter in the P-Asserted-Identity(s) or From header fields, then the “nam” key value is set to the null string.

1. The RCD verification service shall use the Call-Info header field URI with a purpose of "jcard" to re-construct the "jcl" key value. If the INVITE does not contain a Call-Info header field containing a URI with purpose "jcard", then the "jcl" key is not included in the reconstructed "rcd" PASSporT.

Once the full form of the "rcd" PASSporT is reconstructed, it is verified as described in section 5.2.2.1.

#### Conveying Rich Call Data to the Called Endpoint

The verified rich call data can be conveyed to the called endpoint protected in the "rcd" PASSporT itself (contained in an Identity header field of the terminating INVITE request).

Alternatively, the rich call data can be carried unprotected to the called endpoint in the following header field components of the terminating INVITE request:

* The calling name is conveyed in the display name portion of the P-Asserted-Identity and/or From header field, and
* The URI referencing additional rich call data is carried in the Call-Info header field (purpose = "jcard").

Which of these methods is used is based on local policy and the capabilities of the called endpoint.

1. This document is available from the Alliance for Telecommunications Industry Solutions (ATIS) at: < <https://www.atis.org/docstore/product.aspx?id=28297> >. [↑](#footnote-ref-1)
2. This document is available from the Internet Engineering Task Force (IETF) at: < <https://tools.ietf.org/> >. [↑](#footnote-ref-2)