STIR   R. Singh
Internet-Draft   Vencore Labs
Intended status: Standards Track   M. Dolly
Expires: January 1, 2018   AT&T
                                                              S. Das
                                                        Vencore Labs
                                                           A. Nguyen
                                    Office of Emergency Communication/DHS
                                                        June 30, 2017

            PASSporT Extension for Resource-Priority Authorization
                        draft-ietf-stir-rph-00

Abstract

   This document extends the PASSporT object to convey
   cryptographically-signed assertions of authorization for
   communications 'Resource-Priority'. It extends PASSporT to allow
   cryptographic-signing of the SIP 'Resource-Priority" header field
   which is used for communications resource prioritization. It also
   describes how the PASSPorT extension is used in SIP signaling to
   convey assertions of authorization of the information in the SIP
   'Resource-Priority' header field.

Status of This Memo

   This Internet-Draft is submitted in full conformance with the
   provisions of BCP 78 and BCP 79.

   Internet-Drafts are working documents of the Internet Engineering
   Task Force (IETF). Note that other groups may also distribute
   working documents as Internet-Drafts. The list of current Internet-
   Drafts is at http://datatracker.ietf.org/drafts/current/.

   Internet-Drafts are draft documents valid for a maximum of six months
   and may be updated, replaced, or obsoleted by other documents at any
   time. It is inappropriate to use Internet-Drafts as reference
   material or to cite them other than as "work in progress."

   This Internet-Draft will expire on January 1, 2018.

Copyright Notice

Table of Contents

1. Introduction

   PASSporT [I-D.ietf-stir-passport] is a token format based on JWT
   [RFC7519] for conveying cryptographically-signed information about
   the identities involved in personal communications; it is used with
   STIR [I-D.ietf-stir-rfc4474bis] to convey a signed assertion of the
   identity of the participants in real-time communications established
   via a protocol like SIP. This specification extends PASSporT to
   allow cryptographic-signing of the SIP 'Resource-Priority' header
   field defined in [RFC4412].

   [RFC4412] defines the SIP 'Resource-Priority' header field for
   communications Resource Priority. As specified in [RFC4412], the
   'Resource-Priority' header field may be used by SIP user agents,
   including, Public Switched Telephone Network (PSTN) gateways and
   terminals, and SIP proxy servers to influence prioritization afforded
   to communication sessions,including PSTN calls. However, the SIP

'Resource-Priority' header field could be spoofed and abused by
unauthorized entities.

The STIR architecture assumes that an authority on the originating
side of a call provides a cryptographic assurance of the validity of
the calling party number in order to prevent impersonation attacks.
The STIR architecture allows extension that can be utilized by
authorities supporting real-time communication services using the
'Resource-Priority' header field to cryptographically sign the SIP
'Resource-Priority' header field and convey assertion of the
authorization for 'Resource-Priority'. For example, the authority on
the originating side verifying the authorization of a particular
communication for Resource-Priority can use a PASSPorT claim to
cryptographically-sign the SIP 'Resource-Priority' header field and
convey an assertion of the authorization for 'Resource-Priority'.
This will allow a receiving entity (including entities located in
different network domains/boundaries) to verify the validity of
assertions authorizating Resource-Priority. Cryptographically-signed
SIP 'Resource-Priority' headers will allow a receiving entity to
verify and act on the information with confidence that the
information have not been spoofed or compromised.

This specification documents an optional extension to PASSporT and
the associated STIR mechanisms to provide a function to sign the SIP
'Resource-Priority' header field. How the optional extension to
PASSporT is used for real-time communications supported using SIP
'Resource-Priority' header field is defined in other documents and is
outside the scope of this document.

2. Terminology

The key words "MUST", "MUST NOT", "REQUIRED", "SHALL", "SHALL NOT",
"SHOULD", "SHOULD NOT", "RECOMMENDED", "MAY", and "OPTIONAL" in this
document are to be interpreted as described in RFC 2119 [RFC2119].

3. PASSporT 'rph' Claim

This specification defines a new JSON Web Token claim for "rph",
which provides an assertion for information in SIP 'Resource-
Priority'header.

The creator of a PASSporT object adds a "ppt" value of "rph" to the
header of a PASSporT object, in which case the PASSporT claims MUST
contain a "rph" claim, and any entities verifying the PASSporT object
will be required to understand the "ppt" extension in order to
process the PASSporT in question. A PASSPort header with the "ppt"
included will look as follows:

```
{  "typ":"passport",
   "ppt":"rph",
   "alg":"ES256",
   "x5u":"https://www.example.org/cert.cer"}
```

The "rph" claim will provide an assertion of authorization,"auth",
for information in the SIP "Resource-Priority" header field (i.e.,
Resource-Priority: namespace "." r-priority) based on [RFC4412].
Specifically, the "rph" claim includes assertion of the priority-
level of the user to be used for a given communication session. The
value of the "rph" claim is an array containing one or more of JSON
objects for the content of the SIP 'Resource-Priority' header that is
being asserted of which one of the "rph" object, is mandatory.

The following is an example "rph" claim for a SIP "Resource-Priority"
header field with a "namespace "." r-priority" value of "ets.0".

```
 { "orig":{"tn":"12155551212"}
   "dest":{"tn":"12125551213"},
   "iat":1443208345,
   "rph":{"auth":"ets.0"}}
```

After the header and claims PASSporT objects have been constructed,
their signature is generated normally per the guidance in
[I-D.ietf-stir-passport] using the full form of PASSporT. The
credentials (e.g., authority responsible for authorizating Resource-
Priority) used to create the signature must have authority over the
"rph" claim and there is only one authority per claim. The authority
MUST use its credentials (i.e., CERT) associated with the specific
service supported by the SIP namespace in the claim.

4. 'rph' in SIP

   This section specifies SIP-specific usage for the "rph" claim in
   PASSporT.

4.1. Authentication Service Behavior

   The Authentication Service will create the "rph" claim using the
   values discussed in section 3 based on [RFC4412]. The construction
   of "rph" claim follows the steps described in Section 4 of
   [I-D.ietf-stir-rfc4474bis].

   The resulting Identity header for "rph" might look as follows:

"eyJhbGciOiJFUzI1NiIsInR5cCI6IkpXVCJ9.eyJpc3MiOiJleUowZVhaBaU9pSndZWE56Y0c5eW
RDSXNEUW9pY0hCMElqb2ljbkJvSWl3TkNpSmhiR2NpT2lKRlV6STFOaUlzRFFvaWVEVmNJanBvZE
hSd2N6b3ZMM2QzZHk1bGVHRnRjRGxzTGlOdmJTODpaWEowTGlObGNuME5DYz09IHx84oCZLuKAmX
x8IGV5SnZjbWxuSWpwN0luUnVJam9pTVRJeE5UVTFOOEV5TVRaaWZTd2dEUW9pVGdGMElqb2lNVF
EwTXpJd09ETTBOU0lzSUEwSOltUmxjM2FpT25zaWWRHNGlPaGU4TWpFMU5UNTFNE14TXlKOURRb2
ljbkJvSWpwN0ltRjFkR2dpT2lKbGGRITXVNQ0o5RFFvTkNnMEsgICAgIiwibmJmIjoxNDk4NDg5MT
U5LCJleHAiOjE0OTg0OTI3NTksImlhdCI6MTQ5ODQ4OTE1OX0.oia2-qJTlDJICsJ_Af2A5slhO2
iJU-kAHG-HRVVhRiUea6acIoD0w2Bc3Ap4iZ6izx7haRj55MtKKCwY5_bItA";
info= "https://www.example.org/cert.cer";alg=ES256;ppt="rph"

   A SIP authentication service typically will derive the value of "rph"
   from the 'Resource-Priority' header field based on policy associated
   with service specific use of the "namespace "." r-priority" values
   based on [RFC4412]. The authentication service derives the value of
   the PASSPorT claim by verifying the authorization for Resource-
   Priority (i.e., verifying a calling user privilege for Resource-
   Priority based on its identity) which might be derived from customer
   profile data or from access to external services.

   [RFC4412] allows multiple "namespace "." r-priority" pairs, either in
   a single SIP Resource-Priority header or across multiple SIP
   Resource-Priority headers. However, it is not necessary to sign all
   content of a SIP Resource-Priority header or all SIP Resource-
   Priority headers in a given SIP message. An authority is only
   responsible for signing the content of a SIP Resource-Priority header
   for which it has authority (e.g., a specific "namespace "."
   r-priority").

4.2. Verification Service Behavior

   [I-D.ietf-stir-rfc4474bis] Section 6.2 Step 5 requires that
   specifications defining "ppt" values describe any additional verifier
   behavior. The behavior specified for the "ppt" values of "rph" is as
   follows:

   The verification service MUST extract the value associated with the
   "auth" key in a full form PASSPorT with a "ppt" value of "rph". If
   the signature validates, then the verification service can use the
   value of the "rph" claim as validation that the calling party is
   authorized for Resource-Priority, which would in turn be used for
   priority treatment in accordance with local policy for the associated
   communication service.

   The verification service MUST extract the value associated with the
   "auth" key in a full form PASSPorT with a "ppt" value of "rph". If
   the signature validates, then the verification service can use the
   value of the "rph" claim as validation that the calling party is
   authorized for Resource-Priority, which would in turn be used for

priority treatment in accordance with local policy for the associated
communication service.

In addition, [I-D.ietf-stir-rfc4474bis] Section 6.2 Step 4 requires
"iat" value in "rph" claim to be verified.

The behavior of a SIP UAs upon receiving an INVITE containing a
PASSporT object with a "rph" claim will largely remain a matter of
implementation policy for the specific communication service. In
most cases,implementations would act based on confidence in the
veracity of this information. The use of the compact form of
PASSporT is not specified in this document.

5. Further Information Associated with Resource-Priority

There may be additional information about the calling party or the
call that could be relevant to authorization for Resource-Priority.
This may include information related to the device subscription of
the caller, or to any institutions that the caller or device is
associated with, or even categories of institutions. All of these
data elements would benefit from the secure attestations provided by
the STIR and PASSporT frameworks. The specification of the "rph"
claim could entail the optional presence of one or more such
additional information fields.

A new IANA registry has been defined to hold potential values of the
"rph" array; see Section 8.3. Details of extensions to the "rph"
claim to encompass other data elements are left for future version of
this specification.

6. IANA Considerations

6.1. JSON Web Token Claims Registration

o Claim Name: "rph"

o Claim Description: Resource Priority Header

o Change Controller: IESG

o Specification Document(s): Section 3 of [RFCThis]

6.2. PASSporT RPH Types

This document requests that the IANA create a new registry for
PASSporT RPH types. Registration of new PASSporT RPH types shall be
under the specification required policy.

This registry is to be initially populated with a single value for
"namespace" which is specified in [RFCThis].

7. Security Considerations

   The security considerations discussed in [I-D.ietf-stir-rfc4474bis]
   in Section 10 are applicable here.

7.1. Avoidance of replay and cut and past attacks

   The PASSporT extension with a "ppt" value of "rph" MUST only be sent
   with SIP INVITE when 'Resource-Priority' header is used to convey the
   priority of the communication as defined in [RFC4412]. To avoid the
   replay, and cut and paste attacks, the procedures described in
   Section 10.1 of [I-D.ietf-stir-rfc4474bis] MUST be followed.

7.2. Solution Considerations

   The use of extension to PASSporT tokens with ppt value rph based on
   the validation of the digital signature and the associated
   certificate requires consideration of the authentication and
   authority or reputation of the signer to attest to the identity being
   asserted. The following considerations should be recognized when
   using PASSporT extension with "ppt" value of "rph":

   o An authority (signer) is only allowed to sign the content of a SIP
      'Resource-Priority' header for which it has the right authority.
      The authority that signs the token MUST have a secure method for
      authentication of the end user or the device.

   o The verification of the signature MUST include means of verifying
      that the signer is authoritative for the signed content of the SIP
      'Resource-Priority' header.

7.3. Acknowledgements

   We would like to thank STIR members, ATIS/SIP Forum Task Force on
   IPNNI members, and the NS/EP Priority Services community for
   contributions to this problem statement and specification. We would
   also like to thank David Hancock for his valuable inputs.

8. References

8.1. Normative References

   [I-D.ietf-stir-passport]
              Wendt, C. and J. Peterson, "Personal Assertion Token
              (PASSporT)", February 2017.

   [I-D.ietf-stir-rfc4474bis]
             Peterson, J., Jennings, C., Rescorla, E., and C. Wendt,
             "Authenticated Identity Management in the Session
             Initiation Protocol (SIP)", February 2017.

   [RFC2119] Bradner, S., "Key words for use in RFCs to Indicate
             Requirement Levels", BCP 14, RFC 2119,
             DOI 10.17487/RFC2119, March 1997,
             <http://www.rfc-editor.org/info/rfc2119>.

   [RFC4412] Schulzrinne, H. and J. Polk, "Communications Resource
             Priority for the Session Initiation Protocol (SIP)",
             RFC 4412, DOI 10.17487/RFC4412, February 2006,
             <http://www.rfc-editor.org/info/rfc4412>.

   [RFC7519] Jones, M., Bradley, J., and N. Sakimura, "JSON Web Token
             (JWT)", RFC 7519, DOI 10.17487/RFC7519, May 2015,
             <http://www.rfc-editor.org/info/rfc7519>.

8.2. Informative References

   [RFC3261] Rosenberg, J., Schulzrinne, H., Camarillo, G., Johnston,
             A., Peterson, J., Sparks, R., Handley, M., and E.
             Schooler, "SIP: Session Initiation Protocol", RFC 3261,
             DOI 10.17487/RFC3261, June 2002,
             <http://www.rfc-editor.org/info/rfc3261>.

   [RFC6919] Barnes, R., Kent, S., and E. Rescorla, "Further Key Words
             for Use in RFCs to Indicate Requirement Levels", RFC 6919,
             DOI 10.17487/RFC6919, April 2013,
             <http://www.rfc-editor.org/info/rfc6919>.

   [RFC7340] Peterson, J., Schulzrinne, H., and H. Tschofenig, "Secure
             Telephone Identity Problem Statement and Requirements",
             RFC 7340, DOI 10.17487/RFC7340, September 2014,
             <http://www.rfc-editor.org/info/rfc7340>.

Authors' Addresses

   Ray P. Singh
   Vencore Labs
   150 Mount Airy Road
   New Jersey, NJ 07920
   USA

   Email: rsingh@vencorelabs.com

Martin Dolly
AT&T
200 Laurel Avenue
Middletown, NJ 07748
USA

Email: md3135@att.com

Subir Das
Vencore Labs
150 Mount Airy Road
New Jersey, NJ 07920
USA

Email: sdas@vencorelabs.com

An Nguyen
Office of Emergency Communication/DHS
245 Murray Lane, Building 410
Washington, DC 20528
USA

Email: an.p.nguyen@HQ.DHS.GOV