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**Abstract**

This document provides a Technical Report on Originating Party Spoofing in IP Communication Networks. It describes problems associated with originating party spoofing in IP communication networks, identifies potential mitigation options, analyze pros and cons of mitigation options.
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# Scope, Purpose, & Application

## Scope

This technical report provides a framework for signaling verified Caller ID information from the network to a User Equipment (UE), and displaying the information on the UE in a uniform manner, independent of technology.

## Purpose

## Application

.

# Normative References

The following standards contain provisions which, through reference in this text, constitute provisions of this Standard. At the time of publication, the editions indicated were valid. All standards are subject to revision, and parties to agreements based on this Standard are encouraged to investigate the possibility of applying the most recent editions of the standards indicated below.

# Definitions, Acronyms, & Abbreviations

For a list of common communications terms and definitions, please visit the *ATIS Telecom Glossary*, which is located at < <http://www.atis.org/glossary> >.

## Definitions

**Caller identity:** The originating phone number included in call signalling used to identify the caller for call screening purposes.In some cases this may be the Calling Line Identification or Public User Identity. For the purposes of this study, the caller identity may be set to an identity other than the caller’s Calling Line Identification or Public User Identity.

## Acronyms & Abbreviations

|  |  |
| --- | --- |
| ATIS | Alliance for Telecommunications Industry Solutions |

# Architecture

Editor’s note: add figure illustrating various access technologies and a variety of device types (UEs).

# Signaling of Verified Caller ID

## Signaling of Verified Caller ID using Conventional Caller Name (CNAM)

Editor’s Note: Items that need further consideration are trust in the source of the Caller ID data, security considerations, limitations on the 15 characters, impacts on current infrastructure, and consumer education.

In its simplest form, a service provider performing the 4474bis verification process, on behalf of one of their subscribers, will make a binary determination whether a call received is from a trusted source or not. Such a determination can be signaled from the network to a User Agent (UA) via a single alphanumeric character.

Today, infrastructure support for conventional Caller Name (CNAM) services across the United States is, for all practical purposes, ubiquitous. Conventional CNAM supports a 15 alphanumeric character field that is already signaled from IP/TDM networks and displayable on a broad range of wireline and wireless consumer and business devices today. In IP networks, CNAM is signaled in the Display Name portion of either the SIP From or P-Asserted-Identity header.

In an effort to accelerate adoption of Verified Caller ID, service providers should evaluate the use of conventional CNAM as a vehicle for signaling verification status to UAs. For service providers, this approach highly leverages an established ecosystem infrastructure. More importantly, it affords the opportunity to immediately begin signaling Verified Caller ID status to the broadest set of subscriber devices once network implementations are established.

There is a range of implementation options that can be considered. Two simple examples are:

1. The service provider performing the 4474bis verification process appends a designated alphanumeric character to the end of the “published” 15 character CNAM for a verified Caller ID (e.g., JOHN DOE\*)
2. This service provider appends a designated alphanumeric character to the beginning of the “published” 15 character CNAM for a verified Caller ID (e.g., \*JOHN DOE)

Note that the “\*” in the simple examples above is meant to verify the Caller ID (telephone number) in the associated SIP URI and not the displayed CNAM itself. There are other established commercial practices and policies around the subscriber information used in CNAM services, how it is obtained and how quickly it is updated in authoritative databases.

As validated through actual testing, the examples above afford at least two, immediate to near term device implementation approaches:

1. An existing device of a subscriber that supports conventional CNAM can just display what is signaled, e.g., “JOHN DOE\*” (as further discussed below, some agreement across service providers would simplify the subscriber education process)
2. A device operating system or application party can interpret the “\*” and enhance the display to the subscriber as illustrated in the below (i.e., the black padlock within the green circle):



Given the above introduction and explanation, the following addresses some questions that may arise:

1. If a single alphanumeric character is used from conventional CNAM, existing 15 character CNAMs need to be addressed. Further, the selected character should not be used in existing CNAMs or be used in only a small percentage of CNAMs. However, it would seem that receiving Verified Caller ID status adds much more value even though this impacts originating subscribers who, on the other hand, also receive calls.
2. This approach doesn’t assume that a “published” CNAM exists (e.g., “UNKNOWN NAME”) or that a subscriber wants to remain anonymous. Again, the approach leverages an established ecosystem infrastructure to quickly deliver Verified Caller ID status to the broadest set of subscriber devices.
3. An initial draft IETF document, “PASSporT Extension for Caller Name”, proposes a way to broaden the identity claim to include CNAM that may be inserted at call origination. Thus, this approach is extensible in the future to not just verifying Caller ID but also the associated, displayed CNAM.
4. ATIS IP-NNI has recently started discussing the desire to signal more information to the UA about the verification status. However, at this time, it seems like any such approach would require changes by UAs to interpret and act on such information. The near term approach above is meant to accelerate implementations and signal the most critical piece of information to the subscriber device. Note that another simple approach, for example, could be to use a single, numeric value between zero and nine, thus supporting up to ten possible statuses to be signaled.
5. Most any approach will require some subscriber education. For devices that simply display what is sent to them, subscribers will need to understand how their traditional display has changed. Such education can be greatly simplified if ATIS IP-NNI could agree on a uniform approach.
6. Accurate CNAM, along with Verified Caller ID, forms a foundation for building a much better subscriber phone experience. Coupling these together is intuitive as many business to consumer calls are not identifiable enough today to be consistently answered. Further, simple policy rules, which are increasingly being supported in the existing CNAM infrastructure, can be defined to modify the signaled CNAM based on the verification status. For example, a non-Verified Caller ID with an “UNKNOWN NAME” could be signaled as “UNVERIFIABLE” in the Display Name portion of either the SIP From or P-Asserted-Identity header

In summary, in response to accelerated timelines from regulators to address robocalling and spoofing, conventional CNAM affords service providers an opportunity to efficiently signal Verified Caller ID status to the broadest set of existing subscriber devices as soon as network implementations are deployed. Although positioned as a near term approach, it is extensible in multiple ways to support innovative ways to further enhancing the subscriber call experience.
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