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4474bis and Verified Token

• Had a meeting of the minds with editor of 4474bis to discuss a way forward to avoid splintering and zombie apocalypse

• Key points:
  • 4474bis never really had any opinions per say about certificate management
  • 4474bis was a bit confusing on the canonicalization, which probably didn’t help some of the confusions around scope
  • 4474bis-06 now has integrated the use of JWT claims as defined in verified-token draft
  • 4474bis-06 has the option to either include just the signature part or the full verified token in the identity header
  • 4474bis-07 will be targeted to normatively refer to verified-token for definition of claims
4474bis and Verified Token

- For 4474bis-06
  - everything moves into identity header
  - identity-info is depreciated and moved to “info” parameter
  - added “alg” to define crypto algorithm
  - optional “canon” parameter to provide base64 encoded header.claims, per verified-token
4474bis and Verified Token - extensibility

• Probably the least considered part of the current state of 4474bis-06 is extensibility

• We should provide opinions on this

• 4474bis-06 talks about spec as a parameter to Identity header

• likely best perspective to think about this is for “cnam” claim

• Something that we would want to be normative via verified token spec or a similar extension spec beyond verified token

• Other extensibility could be industry specific or application specific and could be handled in many ways